




Let's start out by looking at Daniel 12:1 - "And at that time shall Michael stand up, the great prince... and there shall be a time trouble..."

This is the event we are interested in. The closing of the current age, the thing we should all be preparing for.




There have been many theories put forward about the prophecy we are going to discuss here today. Many people believe they have the truth on




this prophecy but it is much like the Jews of Christ's day and their traditions and misinterpretations that He had to overcome. Therefore we ask you to to approach this subject with an open mind, compare scripture with scripture and set


aside what you may have heard before that contradicts what you see here today.

This verse refers to a specific time for Michael to stand up. In order to identify when this happens and the time of trouble occurs, we must identify the events that point to the time this verse is speaking of. In order to determine this, we must go to the previous events of the prophecy, which are found in chapter 11.










Daniel 11:40-45 identifies a power that comes to his end just before Michael stands up in 12:1. If we can identify who this power is, we can get a pretty good idea of how close we are to the time of trouble and Michael standing up. I think you can see from this the vital importance of correctly identifying the players in this prophecy. The Bible simply calls them "the king of the north," "the king of the south," and "him" ("the king that does according to his will" verse 36).

"And the king shall do according to his will... And at the time of the end shall the king of the south push at him: and the king of the north shall come against him like a whirlwind, with chariots, and with horsemen, and with many ships; and he shall enter into the countries, and shall overflow and pass over...yet he shall come to his end, and none shall help him." Daniel 11:36-45



As you know there are several prophecies in Daniel. Daniel 2 has an image, Daniel 7 has various beasts, Daniel 8 has the ram and goat. All covering similar time periods.

Daniel 11 is different from the other prophecies in that it is presented in plain language instead of in symbols. The angel says: "And now will I show thee the truth..." Daniel 11:2. It, too, starts with Persia and covers the same events as covered in the other prophecies adding more detail. We can safely assume that the events described happened pretty much in the sequence given.






We have described a "mighty king shall stand up... and do according to his will" (verse 3) whose kingdom was "broken... toward the four winds of heaven"



(verse 4). There is no disagreement that this was Alexander the great of Greece and the division of his kingdom to his four generals.









In the next verse we have described the "king of the south." We go back in history

to the division of Alexander's empire and find that Ptolemy ruled the southern part. That leads us to place the four generals according to the points of the compass; east, west, north, and south.

This is important because through the rest of chapter 11 we have many references to the king of the north and south. In fact the phrase "king of the north" only



occurs seven times in the Bible (KJV) and they are all in Daniel 11, verses 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, & 40.







Also in verse 16 we see Rome called the one who "shall do according to his own will." Alexander, in verse 3, was described as doing according to his will. This is important because when we get to verse 36 we have a "king" that "shall do according to his own will." However, in verse




16 the one
who "shall do
according to
his own will"
is not called a
king. Unlike
Babylon,
Medo-Persia,
and Greece.

Rome had no king. It began as a republic. Those that were governed controlled those that governed.









This period of papal persecution ended in 1798 when pope Pius VI was taken prisoner by Napoleon's general Berthier. The pope died in captivity and papal supremacy came to an end. So, verse 36 must occur right around this time.








France was the nation that most supported and upheld the papacy throughout the dark ages. By compelling men to worship at the dictates of the church, France exalted itself above God and put itself in the place of God, for only God can dictate who and what man should worship. "But blackest in the black catalogue of crime, most horrible among the fiendish deeds of all the dreadful centuries, was the St. Bartholomew Massacre. The world still recalls with shuddering horror the scenes of that most cowardly and cruel onslaught. The king of France, urged on by Romish priests and prelates, lent his sanction to the dreadful work." The Great Controversy, page 272. Yes, the French king was guilty of fulfilling Verse 36. The king exalted himself above God and usurped the power that only God can have.



Here we find a very interesting coupling of ideas. First, this king disregards the God of his fathers. France, in 1793, did exactly this. It abolished the worship of God, the God his fathers had claimed to worship through the papacy. Second, he disregards the desire of women and disregards all gods.




The French, Burning Bibles



Scene from the French Revolution, 1793

Nor have regard for the desire of women. The gay life style would certainly fulfill this. Did that happen? Let's take a look at what the gay rights defenders themselves have to say. "Before the French Revolution, sodomy had been a capital crime under royal legislation... The National Constituent Assembly abolished the law against sodomy when it revised French criminal law in 1791 and got rid of a variety of offenses inspired by religion... 'the Revolutionary and Napoleonic period was a time of relative freedom and opened the modern era of legal toleration for homosexuality in Europe. Napoleonic conquests imposed the principles of Napoleon's Penal Code (including the decriminalization of homosexuality) on many other parts of Europe, including Belgium, the Dutch Netherlands, the Rhineland, and Italy. Other states freely followed the French example (for example, Bavaria in 1813 or Spain in 1822)." Wikipedia article, "Jean Jacques Régis de Cambacérès"

"It is perhaps not surprising, then, that this liberal democratic revolution also initiated the disestablishment of sexual orthodoxy, permitting greater individual freedom, and extracting the state from the regulation of homosexuality. With the advent of the Napoleonic legal code, sodomy disappeared from criminal law, and as Napoleon swept through Europe evicting the mainstays of the old order, he left new nation-builders in his wake who founded legal systems without the category of sodomy. The modern world of most of western and southern Europe, as well as its territories (principally in Latin America), broke the medieval link between homosexuality and criminality in the early nineteenth century." Homosexuality and Crime – Modernity http://law.jrank.org/ page/1336/homosexuality-Crime-Modernity.html



"During the Revolution, in 1793, 'the world for the first time heard an assembly of men, born and educated in civilization, and assuming the right to govern one of the finest of the European nations, uplift their united voice to deny the most solemn truth which man's soul receives, and renounce unanimously the belief and worship of a Deity.'—Sir Walter Scott, Life of Napoleon, vol. 1, ch. 17. 'France is the only nation in the world concerning which the authentic record survives, that as a nation she lifted her hand in open rebellion against the Author of the universe. Plenty of blasphemers, plenty of infidels, there have been, and still continue to be, in England, Germany, Spain, and elsewhere; but France stands apart in the world's history as the single state which, by the decree of her Legislative Assembly, pronounced that there was no God, and of which the entire population of the capital, and a vast majority elsewhere, women as well as men, danced and sang with joy in accepting the announcement.'—Blackwood's Magazine, November, 1870." Great Controversy, page 269.



France honored their armed forces and tried to spread the revolution throughout the world by the force of arms. They put Napoleon Bonaparte at the head of their army. Someone talking in Napoleon's presence said, "We'll win because God is on our side." Napoleon's retort was, "God is on the side of the big battalions." This quote from Napoleon has become famous and also illustrates the French honor to the god of forces.




Napoleon and his Generals



The French In Palestine


But in the French Revolution they definitely honored a god that had never before been heard of. It was the goddess of reason. This was a god that even the pagans did not know. If you would like to see a statue of this god, just look to the New York harbor and you will see the Statue of Liberty. This statue was given to the United States by France. It is called liberty but is really a representation of the goddess of reason and millions visit her to this day.




Exalting the Goddess of Reason




Statue of Liberty, Sent to the US by the French



This perfectly fits what the directory did with the lands confiscated from the nobles. This land included most of the land of France. The right thing would have been to divide it equally among the common people, but this was not done. Instead, it was broken up into small pieces and sold to the highest bidders, thus enriching the directory.



France Before 1793 Most Land Belonged to The Nobles





This map shows the four divisions of Alexander's empire.

In the very year specified, 1798, Egypt (the king of the south) gave France (him) a reason to send Napoleon to invade it. Egypt offered only slight resistance, and Napoleon, representing revolutionary France, conquered the country and shot off the nose of the Sphinx. But who is the king of the north? This power who comes like a whirlwind? According to history, there is only one power that came against France like whirlwind, at this time, and amazingly it was the power that controlled the geographic region which the king of the north always controlled in the earlier portions of the prophecy.



This power was the Ottoman Empire. It ruled all the land once ruled by the Seleucid Emperors of Greek times.

On February 27, 1799, Napoleon marched from Egypt, heading toward Syria. He met with little resistance until he reached St. Jean D' Acre. (Pronounced saint-john-d'ăker) There the Turks, aided by Sir Sidney Smith and a force of British sailors, dug in and fought back. Napoleon laid siege to the city.




St. Jean D' Acre Today




Battle of St. Jean D' Acre 1799

The historian tells us, "Napoleon had been engaged for ten days in an almost incessant assault upon the works of Acre, when the approach of the great Turkish army was announced... The unclouded sun was just rising over the hills of Palestine and revealed to his view the whole embattled Turkish host spread out before him... Twelve thousand horsemen, decorated with the most gorgeous trappings of military show, and mounted on the fleetest Arabian chargers, were prancing and curveting in all directions... The French, too proud and self-confident to retreat before any superiority in numbers, had barely time to form

themselves into one of Napoleon's impregnable squares, when the whole cavalcade of horsemen, with Gleaming sabers and hideous yells, and **like the sweep of the wind**, came rushing down upon them." *John Stevens*C. Abbott, The life of Napoleon Bonaparte, page 102-103.






Notice the striking similarity between the secular historian's description of this skirmish and the description in Daniel. "Come against him like a whirlwind," "like the sweep of the wind." We also notice the 10,000 horsemen as the horses mentioned in Daniel. But what of the chariots? Strong's definition of "chariots" is "a vehicle; by implication a team; by extension cavalry; by analogy a rider." An amazing description. This is exactly what the Ottoman Empire had when fighting against Napoleon's army.

Remember from history that artillery was first invented by the Turks and one interesting note is that Napoleon sent his artillery by ship from Egypt to D'Acre. But the British intercepted his ships, captured the artillery and then handed it over to the Turks who in turn used it against Napoleon.

But the prophecy also mentions "many ships." The Turks have never been known as a seafaring people, but the prophecy points out "many ships" as being one of the marks of this conflict. As unusual as it seems, Russia, the avowed enemy of Turkey, united with them in defense against Napoleon. The Russian fleet was sent with the small Turkish fleet to the harbor of St. Jean D' Acre and they were joined there by two British squadrons. The total number of ships reaching more than thirty. Napoleon was defeated for the first time. The Turks certainly did "come against him like a whirlwind, with chariots, and with horsemen, and with many ships." Daniel 11:40







Who "shall overflow and pass over?" France, or the king of the north? There are two ways of knowing who will overflow and passover:

1. If you follow the grammatical structure and how it is stated, and

2. follow the history, you will see clearly who it is.

All we have to do is follow the "him" from verses 36-39 (France) until a new power is introduced in verse 40. The "king of the north" and the "king of the south" are here brought to view, and the pronouns change from France to the king of the north. If we follow the language and the history, we can easily understand the unfolding of the prophecy. In Strong's the word "countries" in verse 40, simply means "to be firm, earth, or land."





What is the "glorious land"? It has always before referred to Palestine. To be consistent, it must still refer to Palestine. We find from history that the Ottomans retook Palestine from Napoleon and followed him all the way into Egypt. But what was overthrown? Certainly not countries, for this word is supplied by the translators. But Napoleon lost 3,600 men, including the wounded and sick. While at the battle of Abukir, in Egypt, the Ottomans lost 10,000 men to drowning besides those killed and wounded. It seems that it was many soldiers who where overthrown.



Battle of Abukir, in Egypt



But who are those who escape out of his hand? The land east of the Jordan, what is now the country of Jordan, was anciently inhabited by the descendants of Lot and Esau. The land of the Edomites was in the desert regions east and south of Israel. Many of the descendants of the these nations spread out into Saudi Arabia. But the Ottoman Empire was never able to completely subdue these peoples, living east and south of the Jordan river. In fact they were forced to pay annual tribute to the tribes living in this region to assure safe passage of the caravans to Mecca. The Ottoman Empire controlled the coasts of Arabia but never controlled the interior. Also the battles with Napoleon all occurred west of the Jordan, and when the Turks reconquered these lands, all the people east of the Jordan were ignored by them.



He stretched out his hand "also upon the countries," or regions that had once been his and ruthlessly brought them back under his control. The Turks tortured and beheaded any French soldiers they found and any who sympathized with the French. The land of Egypt did not escape either. It appears that Egypt would want to escape but did not. We find an interesting passage in a book written in the early 19th century by Richard Robert Madden, an englishman: "Read of the atrocities attributed by Sir Robert Wilson to the French, and you will imagine that the only object of our interference was the domestic happiness of the invaded people. Yet, strange to say, the Arabs speak of the French with respect, and of their expulsion with regret." *Travels in* Turkey, Egypt, Nubia and Palestine in 1824, 1825, 1826 & 1827 page 173.



After Napoleon left Egypt, the remaining French forces were driven out, or captured by the Turks and English. One of the young Turkish officers who helped drive out the French, was an Albanian named Mohamed Ali Pasha. After he gained control of Egypt, it remained an Ottoman province. The Pasha extorted every bit of wealth from the country. He levied high taxes on the people and used every means, legal and illegal, to extort money, impoverishing the people and making them dependent on him. He then sent some of this money as tribute to Constantinople or used it for conquering the neighboring regions. Thus the Sultan, through the Pasha, had access to "the treasures of gold and silver" and "all the precious things of Egypt."



Mohamed Ali Pasha




The Mohamed Ali Mosque
Built with Funds the Pasha Extorted from Egypt

"And the Libyans and the Ethiopians shall be at his steps." These are regions lying west and south of Egypt. Lybia was early conquered by the Ottoman Empire along with all the Mediterranean coast of northern Africa. The region of Lybia became almost entirely independent in 1711 when an Ottoman cavalry officer,


Ahmed Karamanli, took over the area and established his own dynasty, although still nominally under the control of the Ottoman Empire. The region was virtually autonomous until 1814, when the Sultan re-established direct control over the area. This was after the Sultan had regained control of Egypt. The prophecy indicates that Libya would be at his steps after he conquered Egypt and the Ottoman Empire regained control of the region after driving Napoleon out.



The country of Ethiopia, today, lies south and east of the Sudan and was never part of the Ottoman Empire or even bordering it. So on the surface this word does not seem to fit. But anciently, the term Ethiopia referred to the region directly south of and bordering Egypt. This area is now Sudan, Eritrea and Ethiopia. Egypt, under the direction of the Sultan, captured northern Sudan in 1820. Thus the "Lybians and the Ethiopians" were "at his steps" during this time, just as the prophecy says they would be.







What is north and east of the Ottoman Empire? The Russian Empire is in the north and Persia is in the east.



Rulers of Russia, Turkey, and England 1840



Abdülmecid I Sultan of Turkey 1840



Nicholas I Czar of Russia 1840


From 1840, when the Sultan

sought protection from the powers of Europe, the Ottoman

Empire was known as the sick man of the east. The empire was weak, the ruler dissolute, the government bankrupt, the army demoralized. What is not generally known is that the Russian Empire was founded by the remnants of the royal family of the Byzantine empire, after they were driven out of Constantinople by the Ottomans in 1453. The word Czar is the Russian equivalent of Caesar and the governmental forms, procedures, and emblems of the Russian court were derived from the Byzantine. The Czars thought of themselves as ruling the third Rome and the state religion was Eastern Orthodox, whose seat is Constantinople. They also had their eyes on the Indies and gained considerable control over northern Persia.







Obviously, Russia had an

interest in obtaining control over Constantinople. But

Britain and France did not want Russia to gain that control. Britain was afraid that if Russia gained access to the eastern Mediterranean, control of the Suez Canal would be jeopardized. When Russia attacked in 1853 (Crimean war) and 1877 (Russo-Turkish war), Britain came to the aid of the Sultan and even though Russia gained Turkish territory, it did not gain its goal of controlling Constantinople. These conflicts only served to create further tensions in Europe and apprehension as to what would occur if the Ottoman Empire collapsed. This tension was known as the Eastern Question and was directly related to the outbreak of World War I.

Another little known fact is the extermination and exile of the Circassians of the Caucasus. These people were Moslems and the area was ruled by the Ottoman Empire until the region was conquered by Russia in 1864. Following the Russian victory these Moslem people were murdered or exiled. The exiles had only one place to go, the Ottoman Empire. Coming largely from the north and the east, these exiles poured into the empire with stories of horror perpetrated by the Orthodox Russian soldiers. These people added to the strain on the already weak empire and their stories caused fear and consternation and a reaction soon followed. These "tidings out of the east and out of the north" troubled him.



The fears excited by these tidings from the north and east lead to the Armenian Genocide. The Armenians were Christians but had never joined the Eastern Orthodox or the Catholic Church. They early possessed a Bible in their own tongue and, like the Waldensians of the west, they traveled far and wide spreading the truth. For centuries they kept the Bible Sabbath and spread this knowledge as far away as China and Japan. Located in the northeast corner of Turkey, the Armenian homeland had long been under Ottoman rule. But until the 19th century they were left to worship as they chose. Along with all other Christians in the empire they had no political rights and could not proselytize, but were allowed to worship and live in their own communities. Some of the Armenians joined the Russians during the Caucasian war and this was all the Ottoman Turks needed to begin their extermination.



Armenian Bishop and congregation



Armenian Refugees



The Death March

The massacres began in the 1890s and continued until 1922. They reached their climax in the death marches of 1914-16. In all, more than 3 million Christians were killed, half of these were Armenian, the other half were made up of Greek Orthodox and Assyrian Christians as well as other lesser Christian groups in the empire. The western world was so aghast at the enormity of the destruction that in 1930 the word "genocide" was coined to describe what happened to the Armenians. Never before in history had a government attempted to obliterate an entire ethnic, religious group on this scale.


Since the fulfillment of verse 44 (Crimean War 1853-1856), we can see how history continues to unfold in the outbreak of terrible wars and genocide.



Armenian Refugees



Armenian Family outside of Aleppo in Syria



Let's take a closer look at this verse. Let's consider the tabernacles of his palace. What does the word tabernacle make you think of? I think of the tabernacle the Isrealites built at Sinai. It really refers to any temporary or moveable structure, as we would say, a tent. something easily and quickly set up and taken down.




Tabernacle in the Wilderness

What about the word palace? A palace is a grand and beautiful home where the ruler or important official lives. Certainly it is associated with the government. But the word here is translated from a different word. The word translated here as palace is appeden (af-feh'-den). It is a Hebrew word but comes originally from the Persian language. It is derived from the Persian Military campaigns. The Persian king would accompany his soldiers to the battle. When they made camp there was a very large tent or tents pitched for the king to occupy. This tent was like a palace. It had all the amenities and luxuries of the palace in the capitol.



Chinese Floating Palace



The rest of the soldiers slept in the open.



Castle or Citadel



This word appeden is #643 in Strong's and this is the only place in the entire Bible where it is used. There are many places the word palace appears but they are always translated from words that mean "fortress," or "citadel." In other words, all the other times the word palace is used it denotes a permanent structure. But here we see a double use of the word tent, almost as if the prophet is trying to emphasize the fact that this event is exceedingly brief and very temporary in nature.



View of Jerusalem - Late 1800's

We know the Sultan has already a part of his literal tabernacle in Jerusalem, but when he finally moves his capital from Instanbul to Jerusalem, then we know that verse the first part of verse 45 will be fulfilled.

The phrase could be literally translated as "He shall plant the tent of his palace tent." Or maybe it could read "a piece of his palace tent."




Another Replica of the tent used by The Persian Monarch Called an "Appeden."

The question to answer now is, did the Sultan put part of his palace in Jerusalem near the end of the Ottoman Empire? The answer is yes, take a look at this: In 1887-88 "Ottoman Palestine divided into the districts of Jerusalem, Nablus and Acre—Jerusalem District is 'autonomous', i.e. attached directly to Istanbul." Wikipedia, Jerusalem Time Line.

It is interesting that here the word autonomous is used to mean that it was attached directly to Istanbul when there were other regions that were autonomous, meaning that they pretty much governed themselves, independent of Constantinople.









Verse 45 indicates that he had received help in the past, but now there is no help for him. As we have seen, the Ottoman Empire certainly did receive help for nearly 100 years. Russia wanted Constantinople and kept carving away at the Ottoman territories, while Britain and France supported the Turk, mainly to keep Russia in check. Austria-Hungry also was afraid of losing it's Balkan holdings to Russia. In the 1870s, a new player, the German Empire,


entered the scene. The Germans united with Austria and formed an alliance with Turkey, building the BBB railroad for the Sultan. France and Britain didn't like this and feared Germany more than Russia. They abandoned Turkey and formed an alliance with Russia instead. These tensions of the Eastern Question continued to build from 1870 to 1914 when they exploded into World War I.



Weakened even further by the Great War, and having lost all of its Middle Eastern territories to Great Britain, the Ottoman Empire was embroiled in civil war in 1919. The people were unhappy with the government and wanted change. In 1922 the Sultanate was abolished and the ruling Sultan went into exile. In 1924 the Caliph was also exiled. The Caliph was as close as we can get to a pope of the Islamic religion. There has been no Caliph for the Islamic people since 1924. From that time till now, Turkey has had a secular government with no religion favored over another.




Abdulmecid II – Last Ottoman Caliph, 1924




1922, Departure of Mehmed VI – Last Sultan of the Ottoman Empire

What is the significance of all this? Why should the King of the North be the Ottoman Empire? Because so far, in Daniel 11, verses 40-44, it HAS fulfilled every single word of the prophecy perfectly. As we can note in the headlines of today, [the Ottoman Empire, formerly known in history as The Sick of Man of the East/ "The Eastern Question"], Turkey has now become the King of the North indeed. Today, Turkey has the 2nd largest military force in the UN. All we are now waiting for is the fulfillment of Daniel 11:45; when Turkey moves from Instanbul (Constantinople) to Jerusalem, then we know that probation is soon to close (salvation for mankind), quickly followed by THE TIME OF TROUBLE!



Today, we know the Ottoman Turks have boldly declared and are planning to reestablish the Caliphate once again.

In God's perfect timing, He will give the green light for a fulfillment of the FIRST HALF of the prophecy of Daniel 11:45: "And he (the king of the north—the leader of Turkey) shall plant (place or establish) the tabernacles of his palace (a religious/ political entity — Islamic Caliphate) between the seas (Mediterranean and Dead Seas) in the glorious holy mountain (Jerusalem—Mount of Olives);..." The leader of Turkey will carry out his desire of seeing an Islamic Caliphate established in Jerusalem. The prophecy does not describe exactly how this will come about. But when the prophecy is fulfilled, the world will recognize that something significant has just taken place. A revived Caliphate will unite the Muslim world and we can expect to witness the third Jihad which the Bible calls the third woe. This third woe will anger the nations, so says the Bible (Revelation 11:18). A rise in Islamic terrorist activity can be anticipated. Once Turkey takes over and occupies Jerusalem, THEN... Dan 12:1: THE TIME OF TROUBLE will commence:



Every eye is centered on that one spot, and has been for years. Turkey is known universally as the "sick man of the east...the time will come when he will remove from Constantinople, and take up his abode in Palestine; that is, plant his tabernacle between the Mediterranean and Red Seas. Time and again the world has been brought to realize that the end of all things is near at hand, for all know that when the Turk steps out of Constantinople, there will be a general breaking up of Europe. They may not name this impending conflict the battle of Armageddon, but God has so named it."{1901 SNH, SDP 247.3} "I saw four angels standing on the four corners of the earth, holding the four winds of the earth. \dots And I saw another angel ascending from the east, having the seal of the living God; and he cried \dots Saying, hurt not the earth . . . Till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads." these angels now hold the winds of strife, waiting for the church of god to prepare for his coming. The sealing angel goes through Jerusalem (the church) to place the seal of the living God on the foreheads of the faithful, and while this work goes forward, Turkey stands as a national guidepost to the world, that men may know what is going on in the sanctuary above. {1901 SNH, SDP 248.1} God's eye is upon his people, and he never leaves himself without a witness in the world. No man knows when Turkey will take its departure from europe, but when that move is made, earth's history will be short. Then it will be said, "he that is unjust let him be unjust still, . . . And he that is righteous let him be righteous still." to-day is "the day of preparation...while the world watches Turkey, let the servant of God watch the movements of his great high priest, whose ministry for sin is almost over." 1901 SNH, SDP 248.2

Are you ready to stand in the Time of Trouble when the seven last plagues are poured out?

The last half of Daniel 11:45 will be fulfilled, "yet, he shall come to his end, and none shall help him". Under the 6th plague (Rev 16:12) by the "drying up of the river Euphrates", Islam comes to its end (a study for another time).

